

DIOPHANTINE PROBLEMS FOR q -ZETA VALUES¹

WADIM ZUDILIN (Moscow)

E-print math.NT/0206179

03 June 2002

Last revision: 06 July 2002

1. Introduction. As usual, quantities depending on a number q and becoming classical objects as $q \rightarrow 1$ (at least formally) are regarded as q -analogues or q -extensions. A possible way to q -extend the values of the Riemann zeta function reads as follows (here $q \in \mathbb{C}$, $|q| < 1$):

$$\zeta_q(k) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sigma_{k-1}(n) q^n = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \frac{\nu^{k-1} q^\nu}{1 - q^\nu} = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^\nu \rho_k(q^\nu)}{(1 - q^\nu)^k}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (1)$$

where $\sigma_{k-1}(n) = \sum_{d|n} d^{k-1}$ is the sum of powers of the divisors and the polynomials $\rho_k(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ can be determined recursively by the formulae $\rho_1 = 1$ and $\rho_{k+1} = (1 + (k-1)x)\rho_k + x(1-x)\rho'_k$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots$ (see [1, Part 8, Chapter 1, Section 8, Problem 75] for the case $k = 2$). Then the limit relations

$$\lim_{\substack{q \rightarrow 1 \\ |q| < 1}} (1 - q)^k \zeta_q(k) = \rho_k(1) \cdot \zeta(k) = (k-1)! \cdot \zeta(k), \quad k = 2, 3, \dots, \quad (2)$$

hold; the equality $\rho_k(1) = (k-1)!$ is proved in [2, formula (7)]. The above defined q -zeta values (1) present several new interesting problems in the theory of diophantine approximations and transcendental numbers; these problems are extensions of the corresponding problems for ordinary zeta values and we state some of them in Section 3 of this note. Our nearest aim is to demonstrate how some recent contributions to the arithmetic study of the numbers $\zeta(k)$, $k = 2, 3, \dots$, successfully work for q -zeta values. Namely, we mean the hypergeometric construction of linear forms (proposed in the works of E. M. Nikishin [3], L. A. Gutnik [4], Yu. V. Nesterenko [5]) and the arithmetic method (due to G. V. Chudnovsky [6], E. A. Rukhadze [7], M. Hata [8]) accompanied with the group-structure scheme (due to G. Rhin and C. Viola [9], [10]). The next section contains new irrationality measures of the numbers $\zeta_q(1)$ and $\zeta_q(2)$ for $q^{-1} = p \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0, \pm 1\}$, and our starting point is the following table illustrating a connection of some objects and their q -extensions (here $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ denotes the integral part of a number and the notation ‘l.c.m.’ means the least common multiple). We refer the reader to the book [11] and the works [12]–[14], where a motivation and a ground are presented.

¹An extension of the talk given at the conference *Problèmes Diophantiens* (Luminy, CIRM, May 6–10, 2002).

ordinary objects	q -extensions, $p = 1/q \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0, \pm 1\}$
numbers $n \in \mathbb{Z}$	‘numbers’ $[n]_p = \frac{p^n - 1}{p - 1} \in \mathbb{Z}[p]$
primes $l \in \{2, 3, 5, 7, \dots\} \in \mathbb{Z}$	irreducible reciprocal polynomials $\Phi_l(p) = \prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ (k,l)=1}}^l (p - e^{2\pi i k/l}) \in \mathbb{Z}[p]$
Euler’s gamma function $\Gamma(t)$	Jackson’s q -gamma function $\Gamma_q(t) = \frac{\prod_{\nu=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^\nu)}{\prod_{\nu=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{t+\nu-1})} (1 - q)^{1-t}$
the factorial $n! = \Gamma(n+1)$ $n! = \prod_{\nu=1}^n \nu \in \mathbb{Z}$	the q -factorial $[n]_q! = \Gamma_q(n+1)$ $[n]_p! = \prod_{\nu=1}^n \frac{p^\nu - 1}{p - 1} = p^{n(n-1)/2} [n]_q! \in \mathbb{Z}[p]$
$\text{ord}_l n! = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{l} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{n}{l^2} \right\rfloor + \dots$	$\text{ord}_{\Phi_l(p)} [n]_p! = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{l} \right\rfloor, \quad l = 2, 3, 4, \dots$
$D_n = \text{l.c.m.}(1, \dots, n)$ $= \prod_{\text{primes } l \leq n} l^{\lfloor \log n / \log l \rfloor} \in \mathbb{Z}$	$D_n(p) = \text{l.c.m.}([1]_p, \dots, [n]_p)$ $= \prod_{l=1}^n \Phi_l(p) \in \mathbb{Z}[p]$
the prime number theorem $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log D_n}{n} = 1$	Mertens’ formula $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log D_n(p) }{n^2 \log p } = \frac{3}{\pi^2}$

If $\psi(x)$ is the logarithmic derivative of Euler’s gamma function and $\{x\} = x - [x]$ is the fractional part of a number x , then, for each demi-interval $[u, v) \subset (0, 1)$, Mertens’ formula yields the limit relation

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^2 \log |p|} \sum_{l: \{n/l\} \in [u, v)} \log |\Phi_l(p)| = \frac{3}{\pi^2} (\psi'(u) - \psi'(v)) = \frac{3}{\pi^2} \int_u^v d(-\psi'(x)) \quad (3)$$

(see [15, Lemma 1]), which can be regarded as a q -extension of the formula

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\substack{\text{primes } l > \sqrt{Cn} \\ \{n/l\} \in [u, v)}} \log l = \psi(v) - \psi(u) = \int_u^v d\psi(x)$$

in the arithmetic method [6]–[10].

2. Rational approximations to q -zeta values and basic transformations. Let a_0, a_1, a_2 , and b be positive integers satisfying the condition $a_1 + a_2 \leq b$. Then, Heine’s series

$$F(\mathbf{a}, b) = \frac{\Gamma_q(b - a_2)}{(1 - q)\Gamma_q(a_1)} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma_q(t + a_1) \Gamma_q(t + a_2)}{\Gamma_q(t + 1) \Gamma_q(t + b)} q^{a_0 t}$$

becomes a $\mathbb{Q}(p)$ -linear form $F(\mathbf{a}, b) = A\zeta_q(1) - B$ with the property

$$p^{-M} D_m(p) \cdot F(\mathbf{a}, b) \in \mathbb{Z}[p]\zeta_q(1) + \mathbb{Z}[p]; \quad (4)$$

here $M = M(\mathbf{a}, b)$ is some (explicitly defined) integer and m is the maximum of the 6-element set

$$\begin{aligned} c_{00} &= a_0 + a_1 + a_2 - b - 1, & c_{01} &= a_0 - 1, & c_{11} &= a_1 - 1, & c_{21} &= a_2 - 1, \\ c_{12} &= b - a_1 - 1, & c_{22} &= b - a_2 - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $H(\mathbf{c}) = F(\mathbf{a}, b)$ and using the stability of the quantity

$$\frac{F(a_0, a_1, a_2, b)}{\Gamma_q(a_0) \Gamma_q(a_2) \Gamma_q(b - a_2)} = \frac{H(\mathbf{c})}{\Pi_q(\mathbf{c})}, \quad \text{where } \Pi_q(\mathbf{c}) = [c_{01}]_q! [c_{21}]_q! [c_{22}]_q! = p^{-N(\mathbf{c})} \Pi_p(\mathbf{c}),$$

under the action of the transformations

$$\begin{aligned} \tau = (c_{22} \ c_{21} \ c_{01} \ c_{11} \ c_{12} \ c_{00}) &: (a_0, a_1, a_2, b) \mapsto (a_1, b - a_1, a_0, a_0 + a_2), \\ \sigma = (c_{11} \ c_{21})(c_{12} \ c_{22}) &: (a_0, a_1, a_2, b) \mapsto (a_0, a_2, a_1, b) \end{aligned}$$

we arrive at the better than (4) inclusions

$$p^{-M} D_m(p) \Omega^{-1}(p) \cdot F(\mathbf{a}, b) \in \mathbb{Z}[p] \zeta_q(1) + \mathbb{Z}[p] \quad (5)$$

with

$$\Omega(p) = \prod_{l=1}^m \Phi_l^{\nu_l}(p), \quad \nu_l = \max_{\mathbf{g} \in \langle \tau^2, \sigma \rangle} \text{ord}_{\Phi_l(p)} \frac{\Pi_p(\mathbf{c})}{\Pi_p(\mathbf{g}\mathbf{c})}. \quad (6)$$

In addition, trivial estimates for $F(\mathbf{a}, b)$ and explicit formulae for the coefficient A imply that

$$|F(\mathbf{a}, b)| = |p|^{O(b)}, \quad |A| \leq |p|^{(a_0 + a_1 + a_2)b - (a_1^2 + a_2^2 + b^2)/2 + O(b)} \quad (7)$$

with some absolute constant in $O(b)$.

Note that the non-trivial transformation τ of the quantity $H(\mathbf{c})/\Pi_q(\mathbf{c})$ has been obtained (in other notation) by E. Heine still in 1847. The transformation group $\mathfrak{G} = \langle \tau, \sigma \rangle$ of order 12 has no ordinary analogue since corresponding (in limit $q \rightarrow 1$) Gauß's hypergeometric series are divergent. We use the group $\langle \tau^2, \sigma \rangle$ of order 6 instead of the total available group $\mathfrak{G}$ to ensure the required condition $a_1 + a_2 \leq b$. Now, choosing $a_0 = a_2 = 8n + 1$, $a_1 = 6n + 1$, and $b = 15n + 1$, and taking in mind (5), (7), and (3) we derive the following result.

Theorem 1. *For each $q = 1/p$, $p \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0, \pm 1\}$, the number $\zeta_q(1)$ is irrational and its irrationality exponent satisfies the estimate*

$$\mu(\zeta_q(1)) \leq 2.42343562 \dots \quad (8)$$

A value $\mu = \mu(\alpha)$ is said to be the *irrationality exponent* of a real irrational number α if μ is the least possible exponent such that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ the inequality $|\alpha - a/b| \leq b^{-(\mu + \varepsilon)}$ has only finitely many solutions in integers a and b . The estimate (8) can be compared with the previous result $\mu(\zeta_q(1)) \leq 2\pi^2/(\pi^2 - 2) = 2.50828476 \dots$ of P. Bundschuh and K. Väänänen in [12] corresponding to the choice $a_0 = a_1 = a_2 = n + 1$ and $b = 2n + 2$ in the above notation.

Similar arguments with a simpler group $\langle \sigma \rangle$ of order 2 can be put forward to improve W. Van Assche's estimate $\mu(\log_q(2)) \leq 3.36295386 \dots$ in [13] for the following q -extension of $\log(2)$:

$$\log_q(2) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{\nu-1} q^{\nu}}{1 - q^{\nu}} = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{\nu}}{1 + q^{\nu}}.$$

Namely, in [14] we obtain the inequality $\mu(\log_q(2)) \leq 3.29727451 \dots$ for $q^{-1} = p \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0, \pm 1\}$.

In the case of the numbers $\zeta_q(2)$, consider the positive integers $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = (a_1, a_2, a_3, b_2, b_3)$ satisfying the conditions $a_j < b_k$, $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 < b_2 + b_3$ and the q -basic hypergeometric series

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{F}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) &= \frac{\Gamma_q(b_2 - a_2) \Gamma_q(b_3 - a_3)}{(1 - q)^2 \Gamma_q(a_1)} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma_q(t + a_1) \Gamma_q(t + a_2) \Gamma_q(t + a_3)}{\Gamma_q(t + 1) \Gamma_q(t + b_2) \Gamma_q(t + b_3)} q^{(b_2 + b_3 - a_1 - a_2 - a_3)t} \\ &= \tilde{A} \zeta_q(2) - \tilde{B}.\end{aligned}$$

Then $p^{-M} D_{m_1}(p) D_{m_2}(p) \cdot \tilde{F}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \in \mathbb{Z}[p] \zeta_q(2) + \mathbb{Z}[p]$, where $m_1 \geq m_2$ are the two successive maxima of the 10-element set

$$c_{00} = (b_2 + b_3) - (a_1 + a_2 + a_3) - 1, \quad c_{jk} = \begin{cases} a_j - 1 & \text{if } k = 1, \\ b_k - a_j - 1 & \text{if } k = 2, 3, \end{cases} \quad j = 1, 2, 3,$$

and, in addition,

$$|\tilde{F}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})| = |p|^{O(\max\{b_2, b_3\})}, \quad |\tilde{A}| \leq |p|^{b_2 b_3 - (a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2)/2 + O(\max\{b_2, b_3\})}.$$

The $\mathbf{c}$ -permutation group $\mathfrak{G} \subset \mathfrak{S}_{10}$ generated by all permutations of a_1, a_2, a_3 , the permutation of b_2, b_3 , and the permutation $(c_{00} \ c_{22})(c_{11} \ c_{33})(c_{13} \ c_{31})$ has order 120 and is known in connection with the Rhin–Viola proof [9] of the new irrationality measure for $\zeta(2)$ (see also [16, Section 6]). In notation $\tilde{H}(\mathbf{c}) = \tilde{F}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$, the quantity

$$\frac{\tilde{H}(\mathbf{c})}{[c_{00}]_q! [c_{21}]_q! [c_{22}]_q! [c_{33}]_q! [c_{31}]_q!}$$

is stable under the action of the group $\mathfrak{G}$. This $\mathfrak{G}$ -stability yields the inclusions

$$p^{-M} D_{m_1}(p) D_{m_2}(p) \tilde{\Omega}^{-1}(p) \cdot \tilde{F}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \in \mathbb{Z}[p] \zeta_q(2) + \mathbb{Z}[p]$$

with a quantity $\tilde{\Omega}(p)$ defined like in (6). Finally, choosing $a_1 = 5n + 1$, $a_2 = 6n + 1$, $a_3 = 7n + 1$, and $b_2 = 14n + 2$, $b_3 = 15n + 2$ we deduce the following result [17].

Theorem 2. *For each $q = 1/p$, $p \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0, \pm 1\}$, the number $\zeta_q(2)$ is irrational and its irrationality exponent satisfies the estimate*

$$\mu(\zeta_q(2)) \leq 4.07869374 \dots \quad (9)$$

The quantitative estimates of type (9) for $\zeta_q(2)$ have been not known before, although the transcendence of $\zeta_q(2)$ for any algebraic number q with $0 < |q| < 1$ follows from Nesterenko's theorem [18].

It is nice to mention that the simpler choice of the parameters $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = n + 1$, $b_2 = b_3 = 2n + 2$ also proves the irrationality of $\zeta_q(2)$ for $q^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0, \pm 1\}$, and the limit $q \rightarrow 1$ produces Apéry's original sequence [19] of rational approximations to $\zeta(2)$.

We would like to stress that using, like in [7]–[10], (multiple) q -integrals for the both series $F(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ and $\tilde{F}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ in study of arithmetic properties of the numbers $\zeta_q(1)$ and $\zeta_q(2)$ is in great difficulties. The reason of this is due to non-existence of a concept of changing the variable of q -integration (see [20] and [21, Section 2.2.4]).

3. General problems for q -zeta values. We start with mentioning that, for an even integer $k \geq 2$, the series $E_k(q) = 1 - 2k\zeta_q(k)/B_k$, where $B_k \in \mathbb{Q}$ are Bernoulli numbers, is known to be the Eisenstein series. Therefore the modular origin (with respect to the parameter $\tau = \frac{\log q}{2\pi i}$) of the functions $E_4, E_6, E_8, \dots$ gives the algebraic independence of the functions $\zeta_q(2), \zeta_q(4), \zeta_q(6)$ over $\mathbb{Q}[q]$, while all other even q -zeta values are polynomials in $\zeta_q(4)$ and $\zeta_q(6)$. In this sense, the consequence of Nesterenko's theorem [18] “the numbers $\zeta_q(2), \zeta_q(4), \zeta_q(6)$ are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{Q}$ for algebraic q , $0 < |q| < 1$ ” reads as a complete q -extension of the consequence of Lindemann's theorem [22] “ $\zeta(2)$ is transcendental”. Moreover, the transcendence of values of the function

$$1 + 4 \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^\nu q^{2\nu+1}}{1 - q^{2\nu+1}} = \left(1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q^{n^2}\right)^2 \quad (10)$$

at algebraic points q , $0 < |q| < 1$, also follows from Nesterenko's theorem (a proof of Jacobi's identity (10) can be found, e.g., in [23, Theorem 2]); the series on the left-hand-side of (10) is a q -analogue of the series

$$4 \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^\nu}{2\nu+1} = \pi.$$

The best known estimate for the irrationality exponent of (10) in the case $q^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0, \pm 1\}$ is obtained in [24].

The limit relations (2) as well as the expected algebraic structure of the ordinary zeta values motivate the following questions (we also regard $\zeta_q(1)$ to be an odd q -zeta value, although the corresponding ordinary harmonic series is divergent).

Problem 1. *Prove that the q -zeta values $\zeta_q(1), \zeta_q(2), \zeta_q(3), \dots$ as functions of q are linearly independent over $\mathbb{C}(q)$.*

Problem 2. *Prove that the q -functional set involving the three even q -zeta values $\zeta_q(2), \zeta_q(4), \zeta_q(6)$ and all odd q -zeta values $\zeta_q(1), \zeta_q(3), \zeta_q(5), \dots$ consists of functions that are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{C}(q)$.*

The associated diophantine problems consist in proving the corresponding linear and algebraic independences over the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{Q}$ for algebraic q with $0 < |q| < 1$. In this direction, even irrationality and $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear independence results for q -zeta values at the point $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ with $q^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0, \pm 1\}$ would be very interesting.

A problem of other type is to construct a model of multiple q -zeta values involving q -zeta values (1) and possessing similar properties with the model of multiple zeta values [25].

REFERENCES

1. G. Pólya and G. Szegő, *Problems and theorems in analysis*, vol. 2, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1976.
2. M. Kaneko, N. Kurokawa, and M. Wakayama, *A variation of Euler's approach to values of the Riemann zeta function*, Preprint (June 2002), E-print [math.QA/0206171](#).
3. E. M. Nikishin, *On irrationality of values of functions $F(x, s)$* , Mat. Sb. [Russian Acad. Sci. Sb. Math.] **109** (1979), no. 3, 410–417.
4. L. A. Gutnik, *On the irrationality of certain quantities involving $\zeta(3)$* , Acta Arith. **42** (1983), no. 3, 255–264.
5. Yu. V. Nesterenko, *A few remarks on $\zeta(3)$* , Mat. Zametki [Math. Notes] **59** (1996), no. 6, 865–880.
6. G. V. Chudnovsky, *On the method of Thue–Siegel*, Ann. of Math. (2) **117** (1983), no. 2, 325–382.

7. E. A. Rukhadze, *A lower bound for the approximation of $\ln 2$ by rational numbers*, Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I Mat. Mekh. [Moscow Univ. Math. Bull.] (1987), no. 6, 25–29.
8. M. Hata, *Legendre type polynomials and irrationality measures*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **407** (1990), no. 1, 99–125.
9. G. Rhin and C. Viola, *On a permutation group related to $\zeta(2)$* , Acta Arith. **77** (1996), no. 1, 23–56.
10. G. Rhin and C. Viola, *The group structure for $\zeta(3)$* , Acta Arith. **97** (2001), no. 3, 269–293.
11. G. Gasper and M. Rahman, *Basic hypergeometric series*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 35, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1990.
12. P. Bundschuh and K. Väänänen, *Arithmetical investigations of a certain infinite product*, Compositio Math. **91** (1994), 175–199.
13. W. Van Assche, *Little q -Legendre polynomials and irrationality of certain Lambert series*, The Ramanujan J. **5** (2001), no. 3, 295–310.
14. W. Zudilin, *Remarks on irrationality of q -harmonic series*, Manuscripta Math. **107** (2002), no. 4, 463–477.
15. E. Heine, *Untersuchungen über die Reihe . . .*, J. Reine Angew. Math. (Crelles J.) **34** (1847), 285–328.
16. W. Zudilin, *Arithmetic of linear forms involving odd zeta values*, Preprint (August 2001), E-print math.NT/0206176.
17. W. Zudilin, *On the irrationality measure for q -analogue of $\zeta(2)$* , Mat. Sb. [Russian Acad. Sci. Sb. Math.] **193** (2002), no. 8.
18. Yu. V. Nesterenko, *Modular functions and transcendence questions*, Mat. Sb. [Russian Acad. Sci. Sb. Math.] **187** (1996), no. 9, 65–96.
19. R. Apéry, *Irrationalité de $\zeta(2)$ et $\zeta(3)$* , Astérisque **61** (1979), 11–13.
20. R. Askey, *The q -gamma and q -beta functions*, Appl. Anal. **8** (1978), 125–141.
21. H. Exton, *q -Hypergeometric functions and applications*, Ellis Horwood Ser. Math. Appl., Ellis Horwood Ltd., Chichester, 1983.
22. F. Lindemann, *Über die Zahl π* , Math. Annalen **20** (1882), 213–225.
23. G. E. Andrews, R. Lewis, and Z.-G. Liu, *An identity relating a theta function to a sum of Lambert series*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **33** (2001), 25–31.
24. T. Matala-aho and K. Väänänen, *On approximation measures of q -logarithms*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **58** (1998), 15–31.
25. M. Waldschmidt, *Valeurs zêta multiples: une introduction*, J. Théorie Nombres Bordeaux **12** (2000), 581–595.

MOSCOW LOMONOSOV STATE UNIVERSITY

DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICS AND MATHEMATICS

VOROBIOVY GORY, GSP-2, 119992 MOSCOW, RUSSIA

URL: <http://wain.mi.ras.ru/index.html>

E-mail address: wadim@ips.ras.ru